UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	v. LEEMANUEL ELIJAH GROVER	Case No. 1:12-CR-108			
	Defendant				
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform efendant be detained pending trial.	m Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Find	ings of Fact			
(1)		18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of twould have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of te	n years or more is prescribed in:*			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state o	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 r local offenses.			
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invol	ves:			
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250			
(2)		e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presump person or the community. I further find that defendant h	tion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another as not rebutted that presumption.			
	Alternative I	indings (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	as committed an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seg under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
(2)		shed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions the safety of the community.			
Alternative Findings (B)					
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appea				
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger				
	Part II – Statement of the				
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence ✓ a preponderance of the evidence that: defendant is a 20-year-old man with no employment history and no visible means of support. His adult criminal history includes convictions for home invasion, drug possession, and resisting and obstructing an officer. He has a history of failure to appear and of absconding from supervision. He has also repeatedly violated probation by committing new offenses or failing to abide by conditions.					

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 31, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge